Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/685,309	FRANK, PHILIP ANDREW
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Katherine W. Mitchell.	(3)	
(2) <u>Byron Kuzara</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 March 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: all independent		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: examiner called to make sure applicant wanted to claim that the apertures aligned with the connecting channel and were postioned adjacent ends of connecting channels. Applicant affirmed this intention.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	lene Mikel	()
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		